



Project Part-financed  
by the EU




---

**Sub – Project approved and financed in the framework of  
the RFO “PROGRESDEC / ESDP STEPS”**

EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND - INTERREG III C

Community initiative on interregional co-operation across the entire EU territory and neighbouring countries

---

**Hosting partner:**

**Bracciano e Martignano Regional Natural Park –ITALY**

**Kick-off meeting Summary**  
***NOVEMBER 2nd and 3rd 2006***

<p><b>FIRST DAY: 2<sup>nd</sup> November, Thursday</b> Anguillara Municipality Council Hall</p>
---

- ***Workshop***  
***“Past and Present - Analytical Presentation of Partners’ Territories”***

**Parco Naturale Regionale di Bracciano e Martignano presentation**  
(Dr. Emmanuele Argenti)

Descriptive PowerPoint projection:

- Administrative origins of the Park Institution
- Geo-morphologic features: volcanic origins of the lakes
- Fauna and flora features: richness and variety of the forest patrimony, presence of typical species of the riparian environment (mammalians, birds, fishes), presence of SCIS e SPA zones (Natura 2000 network)
- Historical and landscape features: archaeological and middle-age rests, “Agro Romano” integrity
- Local economy features: agriculture, fishing and tourism

**Comune di Asti presentation**  
(Dr. Elisa Fracchia)

Descriptive PowerPoint projection:

- countryside presentation: vineyard landscape
- presentation of an experience of census and classification of rural buildings, elaboration of guidelines for existing buildings maintenance and for new constructions in rural context respecting bioclimatic principles
- presentation of “SB100”: an experience of bioclimatic-energetic classification for existing buildings, as one part of an urban renewal project (Contratti di Quartiere)

**Ayuntamiento de Talamanca del Jarama presentation**

(Dr. Blanca Martin)

Descriptive PowerPoint projection:

- Social-demographic features
- Fauna and flora features: presence of special protection zone for migratory birds (ZEPA)
- Historical and landscape features: richness and variety of archaeological and historical heritage, testified from the presence of currently under-valued Roman and medieval architecture
- Settlement features: nearness to Madrid metropolitan area requires defending and reinforcing local identity, enhancing country side and rural cultural heritage (recall to SWOT analysis)

**Contribution about management and administrative issues**

(Dr. Luc Bonnard, for Regione Lazio)

- OQR project structure
- Appeal to European Spatial Development Perspective 1999-2006, and to upcoming 2007-2013 document, that will include results from trans-frontier cooperation experiences of the last years.
- Call to trimester breakdown of activities and related budget included in the application form, expenses not realized by established expiration date or not correctly documented, will not be refunded.
- Each sub-project partner should provide semester financial report to its regional partner, who will send all necessary documents to national auditor (in Italy Ministry for Infrastructure and transportation). Regional partner is however the subject for sub-project partners to refer for any clarifications
- On first days of December there will be a technical and financial meeting in Rome to set straight all administrative issues.

**Depepok S.A. Presentation**

(Dr. Georgia Zempiliadou)

Project ZEP (zone of alternate urban planning) descriptive power point projection:

- Kozani territory presentation: rocky rural area but important geographic role as gateway to Greece from Balkans
- Electric power production: resources and environmental problems related to generating station (70% of Greek electric power supply)
- ZEP Project: satellite urban hub of regional importance near Kozani, new over-local level centrality.
- New settlement features: nearness to new campus of Western Macedonia University and Technopolis, technologically advanced infrastructures, mixed use and mixed typologies, cooperation with private investors.

**About European Landscape Convention**

(Dr. Federica Benelli)

- Origins, aims and most relevant principles on Lamcode project point of view
- Next tasks: comparison of national landscape laws, ELC as touchstone for each partner survey

*We 're organizing to send you as soon as possible by post a cd-rom or DVD including the power-point documents presented by partners in the course of their contribution to the meeting*

**SECOND DAY: 3<sup>rd</sup> November, Friday***"I due Laghi" Anguillara Sabazia*

- **Workshop**  
**"Future Plans and Common Goals for Landscape - Building the working plan of LAMCODE project"**  
(Moderator: Dr. Pietro Elisei)

Debate:

According to Application form (first semester breakdown of activities): comparison of national landscape laws (task3) and first report (task 4).

By the 15th January first report has to be delivered, it should contain first deliberations about specific goals each partner would like to reach through its local implementation within Lamcode Project.

These deliberations should base upon national and regional landscape law survey (task4) oriented to identify and describe the operational tools (plans, programmes and policies) considered useful regarding landscape quality objectives defined in each context, and to preview their interaction. We should draft the "state of the art" for existing programmes and policies to be potentially put in practice, before thinking to new operational tools. *(Parco)*

First report could be structured with a chapter written by each partner, about specific goals in different territorial contexts and specific intentions for local implementation, a final chapter with some reflection about differences and similarities about intentions and legal opportunities written by partner responsible for the activity (Parco di Bracciano e Martignano for 1<sup>st</sup> report) could complete the report. *(Parco)*

It will be necessary to define a shared scheme (table of contents) to structure information of each chapter in the same way. *(Talamanca)*

A first concrete and structured comparison about single projects, through law survey and first report, could help reciprocal "alignment" and to work out a common process for next step which is guidelines elaboration. *(Parco)*

Lamcode project is working on two levels: the local level, single territorial contexts and the common one shared by partnership. Locally there are many differences, and priorities have to be detected to build development scenarios, documents such as Strategic Agendas are very important to promote further interventions and to activate future economic resources (ESPD 2007-13). Interreg IIIC is above all an opportunity for experimentations.

At common level it will be important to find a way for effective knowledge exchange, in order to coordinate everyone's criticisms and potentialities and try to work out a common strategic document, its form and content are going to be defined during this year, ours has to be considered as a work in progress, step-by-step process, which result depends on our capability in building convergences. It is not possible to preview exactly, final common outcome could be a good practice manual or a procedural guide for some kind of landscape management application or a set of operational guidelines. *(Parco- Elisei)*

For project coordination in next working phases and for their success it's necessary to identify a contact person by each partner and enhance reciprocal communication, an operational intranet area could be a useful working tool for the effective exchange of information. *(Bracciano-Girardi)*

*A list of attendees and contacts has been arranged*

A preliminary step to do, before choosing any operational tool, is to identify landscape to be managed or planned or protected, a useful classification could be landscape units definition. *(Talamanca)*

To get a common issue we should identify common features in analyzed territories, to work on landscape with wide meaning, arrange a check list of possible interventions even beyond dimensional scale or concrete territorial competence of each institutional level represented by partners. *(Asti)*

(LUNCH BREAK)

*Parco Bracciano (Ombuen):*

Reference documents for us are the application from and the European Landscape Convention.

We do not have to invent any new method or planning instrument for landscape but we should understand how to use existing ones in the best way, succeeding in including ELC principles within normal-ordinary programming in General Asset planning and functional programming.

Definition of Landscape in ELC above all point to "cultural landscape", landscape is defined as an "area as perceived by people, whose character is the result of the action and interaction of natural and/or human factors".

Partners are invited to a round table about projects they would like to put in practise in their territory.

*Asti (Alessandro Boano – Elisa Fracchia):*

Asti describes a past experience, realized within "Contratti di Quartiere" in collaboration with ANAB (National Association for Bioclimatic Architecture) and oriented to existing buildings sustainability improvement with particular reference to rural areas where construction is the main environmental potential detractor.

Applied methodological approach even if schematic because "score based", is potentially useful for landscape management: they arranged a set of guidelines consisting in 10 sustainability goals: ecological, social and economical, a "positive list" of 100 actions, a "sustainability counter" assigns a score and a sustainability classification with related energetic certification.

A second experience is described regarding design and realization of new buildings according to bioclimatic purposes

*Talamanca (Blanca Martin):*

Talamanca recalls the necessity for landscape conservation and management policies elaboration to be arranged with local communities' involvement within the general purpose of sustainable development.

Process steps:

- Boundary of landscape units at local level
- Inventory of territorial resources and socio-economic dynamics
- GIS applications: thematic cartography elaboration
- Objectives definition through participation processes
- Guidelines definition for landscape management

*Parco Bracciano (Simone Ombuen):*

Landscape units are defined at regional level within "Piano Territoriale Paesistico Regionale", as a general asset planning tool

Planum (Pietro Elisei):

Planum Association presentation (<http://www.planum.net/>)

Communication plan presentation: web site and operational intranet area for information exchange and common content arrangement

Depepok (Georgia Zempiliadou):

Depepok intends to develop a planning-managing tool for ZEP, to include landscape objectives and promote a new building code oriented to bioclimatic criteria.

ZEP near Kozani should be considered as an experiment of alternative urban settlement potentially repeatable in western Macedonia and in Greece. For this reason it's important to develop appropriate tools.

Parco Bracciano (Giuseppe Girardi):

Park elaborates documents for upcoming "Piano di utilizzazione degli arenili" (waterfront utilization plan) -actually in charge of different Municipalities by lake shores- and documents for rural areas management plan.

In particular, along Martignano shores it's necessary to norm access and parking areas placement, encouraging renewable energies applications and separated waste collection. General purpose is sustainable tourism development and sustainable utilization of natural resources of the Park. Waterfront utilization plan should concern penalized part of the shore (Vigna di Valle) proposing strategies for its recovery.

Parco Bracciano (Pietro Elisei):

Next step concerns "state of the art", DipSU as technical assistant for Lead partner, responsible for first report, will provide a proposal for report, table of contents and a list of questions to structure law surveys using ELC as touchstone.

As soon as possible Planum will propose a detailed communication plan, once approved by every partner and formalized relationship between Planum and partners, web site realization will lead off.

It is recommendable for every partner to contact the regional partner regarding expense documents, because by December they have to deliver the financial report necessary to be refunded.

*Minutes of this meeting are probably not rigorous for attributions and temporal sequence, as regards as first day contributions it's rather synthetic, but we hope minutes could be even a device to clarify some issue and to glean some conclusions useful for next project steps.*